

## Pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2008 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 315207

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/41/31/315207>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.150

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 07:04

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras

Zhiqi Chen and Fuhai Zhu

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071,  
People's Republic of China

E-mail: [chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn](mailto:chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn) and [zhufuhai@nankai.edu.cn](mailto:zhufuhai@nankai.edu.cn)

Received 24 March 2008, in final form 5 June 2008

Published 2 July 2008

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/41/315207](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/41/315207)

## Abstract

Novikov algebras were introduced in connection with the Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic-type and Hamiltonian operators in formal variational calculus. Pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras denote Novikov algebras with non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear forms. In this paper, we find that there is a remarkable geometry on pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras, and give a special class of pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras.

PACS numbers: 02.20.-a, 02.10.-v, 47.20.-k

## 1. Introduction

Novikov algebras were introduced in connection with the Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic-type [1–3] and Hamiltonian operators in formal variational calculus [4–9]. There has been much progress in the study of Novikov algebras [10–20]. A Novikov algebra  $A$  is a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with a bilinear product  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  satisfying

$$(xy)z - x(yz) = (yx)z - y(xz), \quad (1)$$

$$(xy)z = (xz)y \quad (2)$$

for any  $x, y, z \in A$ . Novikov algebras are a special class of left symmetric algebras. Left symmetric algebras are non-associative algebras obeying equation (1). They arise from the study of affine manifolds, affine structures and convex homogeneous cones [21–25]. A fermionic Novikov algebra was introduced as a left-symmetric algebra with anti-commutative right multiplication operators: an algebra is a fermionic Novikov algebra if its product satisfies equation (1) and

$$(xy)z = -(xz)y. \quad (3)$$

The commutator of a left-symmetric algebra  $A$ , i.e.,

$$[x, y] = xy - yx, \quad (4)$$

defines a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ , which is called the underlying Lie algebra of  $A$ . The underlying Lie algebra is said to admit a left-symmetric structure, or an affine structure. An affine structure on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with Lie product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is a bilinear product  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  satisfying equations (1) and (4). Furthermore, if the product satisfies equation (2) (or equation (3)), we say that  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a Novikov (or fermionic Novikov) structure. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a two-step nilpotent Lie algebra, i.e.,

$$[x, [y, z]] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then the bilinear product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by

$$xy = \frac{1}{2}[x, y]$$

is both a Novikov structure and a fermionic Novikov structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Also there are other Novikov and fermionic Novikov structures. In fact, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra of dimension 4 with a basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  satisfying

$$[e_2, e_4] = e_1, \quad [e_4, e_3] = e_2.$$

It is easy to see that the bilinear product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by

$$e_2e_4 = -e_3e_3 = e_1, \quad e_4e_3 = e_2, \quad e_4e_4 = e_3$$

is not a Novikov structure but a fermionic Novikov structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the bilinear product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by

$$e_2e_4 = -e_3e_3 = e_1, \quad e_3e_4 = 2e_4e_3 = -2e_2, \quad e_4e_4 = e_3$$

is not a fermionic Novikov structure but a Novikov structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

A pseudo-Riemannian connection is a pseudo-metric connection such that the torsion is zero and parallel translation preserves the bilinear form on the tangent spaces [26]. The corresponding structure on the algebra  $A$  is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $f : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying

$$f(xy, z) + f(y, xz) = 0 \tag{5}$$

for any  $x, y, z \in A$ . If the algebra  $A$  is a (fermionic) Novikov algebra, then  $(A, f)$  is called a pseudo-Riemannian (fermionic) Novikov algebra.

In this paper, we show that the underlying Lie algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra, which was first introduced in [27] and strongly related to pseudo-Riemannian Poisson manifolds [28] with a compatible pseudo-metric. In view of lack of examples, we give a class of pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we show that the underlying Lie algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra is the Lie algebra obtained by linearizing the Poisson structure at a point of a Poisson manifold with a compatible pseudo-metric and a certain condition on the Levi-Civita contravariant connection. In section 3, we give the classification of pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras  $(A, f)$  with  $\dim AA = \dim A - 1$  over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Based on the discussion in the previous sections, we get some conclusions in section 4.

## 2. Pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras

In this section, the algebras are of finite dimension and over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with Lie product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is called a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra if there is a bilinear product  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfying

$$[x, y] = xy - yx, \quad [xz, y] + [x, yz] = 0$$

and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $f$  satisfying

$$f(xy, z) + f(y, xz) = 0$$

for any  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is positive definite,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called a Riemann–Lie algebra. The following are some important applications of pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebras [28–30].

- (i) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra, then there is a pseudo-metric  $\langle, \rangle$  on the dual  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  endowed with its linear Poisson structure  $\pi$  for which the triple  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi, \langle, \rangle)$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Poisson manifold.
- (ii) If  $(P, \pi, \langle, \rangle)$  is a Riemann–Poisson manifold and  $S$  is a symplectic leaf of  $P$ , then  $S$  is a Kähler manifold.
- (iii) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Riemann–Lie algebra, then any even dimensional subalgebra of the orthogonal subalgebra defined in [29] gives rise to a structure of a Riemann–Poisson Lie group on any Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover, we get a structure of Lie bialgebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  where both  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are Riemann–Lie algebras.
- (iv) The underlying Lie algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebra is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra.

The notion of pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebras was first introduced in [27]. They are strongly related to pseudo-Riemannian Poisson manifolds [28]. In fact, let  $P$  be a Poisson manifold with a Poisson tensor  $\pi$ . A pseudo-metric of signature  $(p, q)$  on the cotangent bundle  $T^*P$  is a smooth symmetric contravariant 2-form  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $P$  such that, at each point  $o \in P$ ,  $\langle, \rangle_o$  is non-degenerate on  $T_o^*P$  with signature  $(p, q)$ . For any pseudo-metric  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $T^*P$ , define a contravariant connection by

$$2\langle D_\alpha \beta, \gamma \rangle = \sigma_\pi(\alpha) \cdot \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle + \sigma_\pi(\beta) \cdot \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle - \sigma_\pi(\gamma) \cdot \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle [\alpha, \beta]_\pi, \gamma \rangle + \langle [\gamma, \alpha]_\pi, \beta \rangle + \langle [\gamma, \beta]_\pi, \alpha \rangle$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega^1(P)$  and the bundle map  $\sigma_\pi : T^*P \rightarrow TP$  is defined by

$$\beta(\sigma_\pi(\alpha)) = \pi(\alpha, \beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in T^*P,$$

and where the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, \beta]_\pi &= L_{\sigma_\pi(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\sigma_\pi(\beta)}\alpha - d(\pi(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &= i_{\sigma_\pi(\alpha)}d\beta - i_{\sigma_\pi(\beta)}d\alpha + d(\pi(\alpha, \beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if

$$\pi(D_\alpha df, \beta) + \pi(\alpha, D_\beta df) = 0 \tag{6}$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(P)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(P)$ , then the triple  $(P, \pi, \langle, \rangle)$  is called a pseudo-Riemannian Poisson manifold. When  $\langle, \rangle$  is positive definite we call the triple a Riemann–Poisson manifold. Let  $f$  denote the restriction of  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $\text{Ker } \pi(o)$ . Then, for any point  $o \in P$  such that  $f$  is non-degenerate, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_o$  obtained by linearizing the Poisson structure at  $o$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra.

**Theorem 1.** *Let notations be as above. If the Levi-Civita contravariant connection  $D$  mentioned above satisfies*

$$D_{D_\alpha \beta} \gamma = D_{D_\alpha \gamma} \beta \tag{7}$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega^1(P)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_o$  admits a Novikov structure.

**Proof.** For any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_o$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(P)$  such that  $\alpha_o = x$  and  $\beta_o = y$ , it is easy to check that

$$(D_\alpha \beta)_o = xy.$$

It follows that

$$(D_{D_\alpha\beta}\gamma)_o = (D_\alpha\beta)_oz = (xy)z$$

for any  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_o$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega^1(P)$  such that  $\alpha_o = x$  and  $\beta_o = y$  and  $\gamma_o = z$ . Thus, by equation (7), we have

$$(xy)z = (xz)y, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_o. \tag{8}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2f((xy)z, d) &= f((xy)z, d) + f((xy)z, d) \\ &= -f(z, (xy)d) + f(d, (xy)z) \\ &= -f(z, (xd)y) + f(d, (xz)y) \\ &= f((xd)z, y) - f((xz)d, y) \\ &= f((xd)z - (xz)d, y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x, y, z, d \in \mathfrak{g}_o$ . Therefore

$$(xy)z = 0$$

by the non-degeneracy of  $f$ . By the definition of pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra,

$$(xy)z - x(yz) = -x(yz) = -y(xz) = (yx)z - y(xz). \tag{9}$$

Namely  $\mathfrak{g}_o$  admits a Novikov structure. □

**Corollary 1.** *The underlying Lie algebras of pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras are pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebras.*

**Proof.** Let  $(A, f)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra. By the proof of theorem 1, we have that

$$(xy)z = 0$$

for any  $x, y, z \in A$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [xz, y] + [x, yz] &= (xz)y - y(xz) + x(yz) - (yz)x \\ &= x(yz) - y(xz) \\ &= x(yz) - (xy)z - y(xz) + (yx)z \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the underlying Lie algebra of  $A$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebra. □

**Corollary 2.** *Pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras are fermionic Novikov algebras.*

Therefore, the procedure to classify pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras in [30] is suitable to classify pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras. Moreover, by the classification of pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras up to dimension 4 in [30], we have

**Corollary 3.** *Pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras up to dimension 4 are Novikov algebras.*

**Remark 1.** But for dimensions greater than four, we could neither prove that pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras are Novikov algebras nor find a pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebra which is not a Novikov algebra.

### 3. Pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras $(A, f)$ with $\dim AA = \dim A - 1$

It is well known that the classification of Novikov algebras in higher dimensions is also an open problem. In fact, the classification in dimension 4 is not completely given. And the way to classify pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras [30] is only a theoretical method. In this section, we give a class of pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras over the field of complex numbers.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be a Novikov algebra of dimension  $n$  with  $\dim AA = n - 1$  and  $f$  a symmetric bilinear form on  $A$ . Then  $(A, f)$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra if and only if  $n$  is odd and there exists an  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  anti-symmetric matrix  $M$  with  $\det M \neq 0$  and a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $A$  such that either the product is given by*

$$(e_n e_1, \dots, e_n e_{n-1}) = (e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})M$$

and the bilinear form  $f$  is given by  $F = I_n$  or the product is given by

$$(e_n e_1, \dots, e_n e_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)M_1$$

and the bilinear form  $f$  is given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{row}_{n-1}(M) \\ 0 & \text{row}_1(M) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \text{row}_{n-2}(M) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $F = (f(e_i, e_j))$ .

Firstly, we list some notations and establish some lemmas.

Let  $(A, f)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra with a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $\{c_{ij}^k\}$  the set of structure constants under the basis, i.e.,

$$e_i e_j = \sum_k c_{ij}^k e_k.$$

Denote the (form) character matrix by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_k c_{11}^k e_k & \dots & \sum_k c_{1n}^k e_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_k c_{n1}^k e_k & \dots & \sum_k c_{nn}^k e_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $AA$  denote the algebra defined by the linear span of the elements of the form  $xy$  for any  $x, y \in A$  and define

$$LZ(A) = \{x \in A \mid xy = 0, \forall y \in A\},$$

$$RZ(A) = \{x \in A \mid yx = 0, \forall y \in A\},$$

$$(AA)^\perp = \{x \in A \mid f(x, yz) = 0, \forall y, z \in A\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(A, f)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra with  $\dim A = \dim AA + 1$ . Then  $AA \subset LZ(A)$  and  $\dim RZ(A) = 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(A, f)$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra, then  $(xy)z = 0, \forall x, y, z \in A$ . Namely,  $AA \subset LZ(A)$ .

And it is easy to check that  $RZ(A) = (AA)^\perp$ , which implies  $\dim A = \dim AA + \dim RZ(A)$ . It follows that  $\dim RZ(A) = 1$ .  $\square$

As a sequence, we have  $RZ(A) \cap AA = 0$  or  $RZ(A) \subset AA$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(A, f)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra of dimension  $n$  with  $\dim AA = n - 1$ . If  $RZ(A) \cap AA = 0$ , then  $n$  is odd and there exist an  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  anti-symmetric matrix  $M$  with  $\det M \neq 0$  and a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $A$  such that the product is given by*

$$(e_n e_1, \dots, e_n e_{n-1}) = (e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})M$$

and the bilinear form  $f$  is given by  $F = I_n$ .

**Proof.** Since  $RZ(A) \cap AA = 0$ , then  $A = AA + RZ(A)$  and both  $f|_{RZ(A) \times RZ(A)}$  and  $f|_{AA \times AA}$  are non-degenerate. Thus, there exists a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $A$  such that the character matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{n1}^k e_k & \cdots & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{n(n-1)}^k e_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $f$  is defined by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $e_n$  is a basis of  $RZ(A)$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$  is a basis of  $AA$ . It follows that the product is given by

$$(e_n e_1, \dots, e_n e_{n-1}) = (e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})M, \tag{10}$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} c_{n1}^1 & \cdots & c_{n(n-1)}^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1}^{n-1} & \cdots & c_{n(n-1)}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $f(e_n e_i, e_j) + f(e_i, e_n e_j) = 0$ , then

$$c_{ni}^j + c_{nj}^i = 0.$$

It follows that  $M$  is an anti-symmetric matrix. Since  $\dim AA = n - 1$ , then

$$\det M \neq 0.$$

But  $\det M = 0$  if  $n$  is even. Thus  $n$  is odd.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(A, f)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra of dimension  $n$  with  $\dim AA = n - 1$ . If  $RZ(A) \subset AA$ , then  $n$  is odd and there exist an  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$*

anti-symmetric matrix  $M$  with  $\det M \neq 0$  and a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $A$  such that the product is given by

$$(e_n e_1, \dots, e_n e_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n) M_1$$

and the bilinear form  $f$  is given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{row}_{n-1}(M) \\ 0 & \text{row}_1(M) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \text{row}_{n-2}(M) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $RZ(A) \subset AA$ , then  $f|_{RZ(A) \times RZ(A)} = 0$ . Furthermore, there exists a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $A$  such that the character matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{n2}^k e_k & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{nn}^k e_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $f$  is defined by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $e_1$  is a basis of  $RZ(A)$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$  is a basis of  $AA$ . It follows that the product is given by

$$(e_n e_1, \dots, e_n e_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n) M_1, \tag{11}$$

where

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{n2}^1 & \dots & c_{nn}^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & c_{n2}^{n-1} & \dots & c_{nn}^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $f(e_n e_i, e_j) + f(e_i, e_n e_j) = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ni}^j + c_{nj}^i &= 0, & i = 2, \dots, n, & j = 2, \dots, n-1; \\ c_{ni}^1 + c_{nn}^i &= 0, & i = 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$



It follows that

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} c_{n2}^2 & \cdots & c_{nn}^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n2}^{n-1} & \cdots & c_{nn}^{n-1} \\ c_{n2}^1 & \cdots & c_{nn}^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is anti-symmetric. Since  $\dim AA = n - 1$ , then

$$\det M \neq 0.$$

But  $\det M = 0$  if  $n$  is even. Therefore,  $n$  is odd. □

**The proof of theorem 2:**

⇒ The conclusion is clear thanks to Lemma 2 and 3.

⇐ For any case,  $(e_i e_j) e_k = 0$ . If  $i \neq n$  and  $j \neq n$ ,  $e_i (e_j e_k) = 0$ . Thus,  $A$  is a Novikov algebra. Since  $\det M \neq 0$ , then  $\dim AA = n - 1 = \dim A - 1$ . Obviously,  $f$  is non-degenerate. And it is easy to check that  $f$  satisfies equation (5). □

**4. Conclusions**

According to the discussion in the previous sections, we obtain some conclusions on pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras.

- (i) The underlying Lie algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra is the Lie algebra obtained by linearizing the Poisson structure at a point of a Poisson manifold with a compatible pseudo-metric and a certain condition (7) on the Levi-Civita contravariant connection.
- (ii) Not every Novikov algebra is a fermionic Novikov algebra, but pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras are pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras. Moreover, pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebras are equivalent with pseudo-Riemannian fermionic Novikov algebras in less than or equal to four dimensions.
- (iii) If  $(A, f)$  is a pseudo-Riemannian Novikov algebra with  $\dim AA = \dim A - 1$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $\dim A = 2k + 1$ . In fact, the structure of  $A$  is determined by an anti-symmetric matrix.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no 10501025). We are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. We also thank Professor C M Bai for his useful suggestions and for communicating to us his research in this field.

**References**

[1] Dubrovin B A and Novikov S P 1983 *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **27** 665–9  
 [2] Dubrovin B A and Novikov S P 1984 *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **30** 651–4  
 [3] Balinskii A A and Novikov S P 1985 *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **32** 228–31  
 [4] Gel'fand I M and Diki L A 1975 *Russ. Math. Surv.* **30** 77–113  
 [5] Gel'fand I M and Diki L A 1976 *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **10** 16–22  
 [6] Gel'fand I M and Dorfman I Ya 1979 *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **13** 248–62  
 [7] Xu X 1995 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **33** 1–6

- [8] Xu X 1995 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 1681–98
- [9] Xu X 2000 *J. Algebra* **223** 396–437
- [10] Zel'manov E I 1987 *Sov. Math.—Dokl.* **35** 216–8
- [11] Osborn J M 1992 *Nova J. Algebra Geom.* **1** 1–14
- [12] Osborn J M 1992 *Commun. Algebra* **20** 2729–53
- [13] Osborn J M 1994 *J. Algebra* **167** 146–67
- [14] Xu X 1996 *J. Algebra* **185** 905–34
- [15] Xu X 1997 *J. Algebra* **190** 253–79
- [16] Bai C and Meng D 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 1581–94
- [17] Bai C and Meng D 2001 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **40** 1761–8
- [18] Bai C and Meng D 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 3363–72
- [19] Bai C and Meng D 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 6435–42
- [20] Bai C and Meng D 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 8193–7
- [21] Kim H 1986 *J. Differ. Geom.* **24** 373–94
- [22] Perea A M 1981 *J. Differ. Geom.* **16** 445–74
- [23] Vinberg E B 1963 *Transl. Moscow Math. Soc.* **12** 340–403
- [24] Bai C and Meng D 2000 *Commun. Algebra* **28** 2717–34
- [25] Burde D 1998 *Manuscr. Math.* **95** 397–411
- [26] Sagle A A 1985 *Algebras Groups Geometries* **2** 478–94
- [27] Boucetta M 2004 *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **20** 279–91
- [28] Boucetta M 2001 *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **333** 763–8
- [29] Boucetta M 2005 *J. Lie Theory* **15** 183–95
- [30] Chen Z and Zhu F 2007 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 4729–38